

Contribution of trickle irrigation technique on sustainable agro-environment: evaluation of dripper flow rate

Ahmet Rasih İnciman, Bilal Acar

*Department of Farm Buildings and Irrigation, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Selçuk, Konya-Turkey.
Corresponding author (e-mail:biacar@selcuk.edu.tr; Tel:+90-332-2232851; Fax: 332-2410108).*

ABSTRACT

Drip irrigation is an irrigation water saving technique under adequate management. It is vital important especially for regions having arid and semi-arid climate. In order to achieve maximum and qualified agro-production, irrigation water should be applied to crops as uniform as possible. Drip irrigation has the advantage of high application efficiency under efficient management over other irrigation technologies such as surface irrigation systems. The aim of current work is to analyze the emitter performance of drip irrigation systems by using our previous own researches as well as other relevant studies worldwide. In results, water delivery performance of emitters has reduced from some reasons such as mainly emitter blockage, poor design or incorrect installation of lines, insufficient maintenance-repair works as well as mismanagement of those systems. Emitters, water application devices for crops, are backbone of the trickle irrigation systems and determination of flow rates is strongly recommended in examine water uniformity level especially after using those systems with a certain period. Drip irrigation system facilitates more farmlands irrigation with same amount water supplies due to the minimum water losses in lines and irrigation processes under correct management and should be used more widely in dry-hot regions of the world where water scarcity is a big limitation for agro-production.

Keywords: Drip irrigation, water saving, uniformity, water delivery, emitters.

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture has known single maximum good quality water user sector through the world. In that reason, current water resources have to be used with high efficiency especially in regions having arid or semi-arid climates [1]. Irrigation is one of the most important inputs resulting high and qualified yield among the other farming activities for sustainable agro-production in dry or hot environments since rainfall is not sufficient and not uniformly distributed through the years in such environment.

Irrigation is necessarily prerequisites to get economical crop yield in areas where the rainfall is insufficient to meet the whole crop water requirements. As we all know that agro-production in most is obtained from irrigated lands.

In irrigated farmlands, the reason of low crop yield is mainly poor management of irrigation systems. In other words, there is a direct relationship between quality of irrigation system management and crop yield [2]. One of the most important issues in irrigation is application of water to the crops as uniform as possible. The drip irrigation system has many advantages over other traditional irrigation techniques such as minimum labor requirement at irrigation process as well as adequate water delivery to the crops resulting high and qualified crop yield [3-4]. Application efficiency is greater in drip irrigation technique under correct water management by comparing other irrigation systems [5]. Water distribution uniformity has affected from multiple sources such as field slope, type/s of the system design even management quality of the systems [6]. In addition, fluctuations in working pressures in lines, complete or partial blockage of emitters and poor or lack of experiences of farmers about irrigation water management are possibly main causes of low water delivery performance of trickle irrigation systems [7]. Water delivery performance of drip system is mostly negative affected from the emitter blockage resulting uneven water distribution for irrigated lands [8]. In addition, drip irrigation system is well adapted for deficit irrigation by some field crops such as sugar beet, maize, potato and sunflower so on in areas where the current water resources are scant. About 25% deficit irrigation by drip system, recommended for water shortage environments, has not resulted significant yield reduction by comparison to full irrigation treatments for those crops [9]. The selections of the lateral and manifold diameter with satisfactory are two important design parameters for obtaining well water delivery

performance at drip system. Those diameters should be for yielding at least uniformity coefficient (UC) of 98% and 97.5%, respectively [10]. Water distribution uniformity of emitters can be evaluated via computing UC and Emission Uniformity, EU, in which are two well-known performance indicators in micro irrigation systems [11].

The aim of the present work is, therefore, to evaluate water delivery status of drip irrigation with detail by using the findings from past studies around world and to give brief and useful practical recommendations resulting better irrigation efficiency for drip irrigation system designers, managers and farmers.

INTERPRETATION OF EMITTER FLOW RATES

The best emitter performance is obtained from flow measurements in whole emitters. Doing that is time consuming so not practical especially for drip irrigation systems at large-sized farmlands. Water volume is measured on drippers at start, middle, and end of each lateral at main or sub-main lines for a known period. In collection of water volume, small holes are established just under test emitters, and water-collecting cups are placed on those holes. Ruled plastics / glass cylinders are preferred for measurement processes of collected water within the catch cans. Having measured volumes of water obtained from those emitters, then data are converted to emitter flow rates as L/h [12]. About at least 24 or 36 dripper points are assumed reliable for field test.

In this paper, two common water delivery performance indicators namely UC and EU were examined. UC was computed by use of following equation [13]:

$$UC = \left(1 - \left(\frac{\Delta q}{q_{avr}}\right)\right) \times 100$$

Where, UC- Uniformity coefficient, %, Δq - Average of absolute deviation from average dripper flow rate, L/h, and q_{avr} - Average dripper flow rate, L/h.

In accordance of UC, water delivery class was determined by use of Table 1 [14].

Table 1. Water delivery class in accordance of UC

UC (%)	Emitter Flow Performance
> 90	Perfect
80 – 90	Well
70– 80	Fair
60 – 70	Poor
< % 60	No-Acceptable

EU was computed by use of following equation [15]:

$$EU = \frac{q_{\%25}}{q_{avr}} \times 100$$

Where; EU- Emission uniformity, %, $q_{\%25}$ - Average of the lowest quarter of the dripper flow rates, L/ h, and q_{avr} - Average emitter discharge rate, L /h. In regard to EU values, water distribution uniformity was classified by use of Table 2.

Table 2. Water delivery class in accordance of EU

EU, %	Emitter	Emitter Performance by
	Performance by [16]	[17]
<70	Poor	No-Acceptable
70–80	Acceptable	Poor
80–86	Well	Acceptable
86–90	Well	Well
90–94	Perfect	Well
>94	Perfect	Perfect

FINDINGS OF SOME STUDIES

Ascough and Kiker [1] performed research about determination of UC and EU values by using five laterals namely second lateral of start, laterals at a quarter, half, three-quarter distances as well as second to final lateral. They examined five emitters at start of lateral, at a quarter, half, three-quarter length and end of lateral in each lateral with a total of 25 emitters. They found UC as 82% (**Well** Water Distribution) and EU as about 76% (**Acceptable / Poor** Water Distribution), respectively.

Camoglu and Yavuz [18] examined UC and EU by testing National / Turkish and foreign lateral tubes. They found those parameters for National and Foreign laterals as 97% and 96%; and 98% and 97%, respectively. In accordance of those findings, the results were satisfactory.

Yavuz et al. [8] examined the water delivery performance of drippers mounted at laterals having ages of new, one year, two year and three year old obtained from the different farms at Canakkale province of Turkey. Having taken the sample laterals from the farmlands, they performed measurements of water volume from the emitters at initial, 1/3, 2/3, and end of the laterals by application of 100 kPa pressure head. They found UC values for new, one, two and three year old laterals as between 98.84% and 96.47%, between 98.94% and 97.73%, between 98.64% and 76.71%, and between 94.66% and 74.44%, respectively. The EU values for same ages varied from 98.12% to 95.77%, from 98.41% to 96.97%, from 97.91% to 70.52%, and from 90.23% to 60.72%, respectively. After usage of three years, emitter delivery performance reduced due to the partial or complete plugging or damages of lines and emitters.

Acar et al. [19] researched UC at drip irrigation systems for 11 green houses in Antalya-Turkey. In such study, they found UC as between 62% and 95%. They stated that water distribution uniformity is highly affected from the age of the lateral tubes, quality of the system design and level of management processes.

Acar et al. [20] performed field tests at drip irrigation systems at 10 different olive farms located in Izmir province of Turkey. They found that UC varied from 52% to 85% and water distribution class were as **No-Acceptable** and **Well** in accordance of such UC values. EU varied from 23% to 82% and water distribution uniformity was **Poor** and **Well** in accordance of EU. They stated possible reasons of poor water distribution uniformity were laterals in some farms have used for long period or pipe networks were damages so high seepage losses were observed in those gardens.

Subramani and Prabakaran [11] studied about determination of UC and EU at Arriyanoor village belonging Salem, Tamilnadu, India. They determined UC and EU values as about 81% and 69%, respectively.

Arya et al. [21] investigated UC and EU for two agro-production houses namely Air Ventilation (AV) and Climate Controlled (CC) at 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 in India. They obtained good findings of UC about 94%, 93% for NV and 96%, 95 % for EC for periods mentioned above, respectively. They calculated EU values as about 90%, 89% for AV and 93%, 92% for EC for production years of 2013-2014 and 2014-2015, respectively.

Elamin et al. [22] performed a laboratory test for determination of water delivery performance of three different type of drippers (A, B, and C) at Khartum-Sudan in 2015. The A and B drippers were pressure compensating while C dripper none-pressure compensating. They examined such performance by using emitters mounted at 50 cm spacing among the lateral tubes. They used following drip system; main line of 4 m long and 2.54 cm diameter made of polyethylene (PE); lateral tube having 13 mm diameter made of PE; A

and B drippers having 4 L/h flow rate and C (no-pressure compensating) having 8 L/h flow rate. They considered total 90 emitters for the each test. They calculated UC and EU for water delivery analysis. They examined three different operating pressures namely 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 bar in period of test. They stated that types of the emitters had direct effect on water delivery performance of drip irrigation system and water distribution uniformity was found greater for using pressure compensation emitters like A and B.

Table 3. Water delivery status of examined drippers [23].

Drippers	UC, %	EU, %
A	95	93
B	92	88
C	86	81

CONCLUSION

There is no doubt that drip irrigation is an efficient method for water savings under well management. Thus, using that system for irrigation of large areas will result improvement of irrigation efficiency. In that regard, drip irrigation system is environmentally friendly especially for water shortage environments. Emitters are the most important component of the drip irrigation systems and performance of those can be affected from the various factors. Poor performance of drip irrigation system can be resulted from some factors such as low quality of irrigation water, inadequate system design, installation of such system in the field by none-experienced staff and lack of maintenance-repair works. To achieve the maximum benefits from the drip irrigation systems following recommendations should be considered: 1) The system components of drip irrigation systems should be good quality if possible, 2) Skillful labor/s should install that irrigation system in farmlands, 3) The lengths of laterals should be in accordance of charts of production company, 4) filters should be used in systems in case irrigation water having some particles resulting emitter clogging, 5) If the field topography is highly undulating or steeper slopes, pressure compensating drippers are suggested, and 6) If the water resources are very scant, subsurface drip irrigation system is a practical solution for minimizing the water losses by evaporation or maximize the irrigation efficiency, and 7) farmers should be trained about agricultural water management. It can be noted that irrigation method is important but possibly the most important thing is management of the irrigation systems for sustainable crop production. Therefore, farmers also should consider the studies relevant management of the drip irrigation systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ascough, G.W., Kiker, G.A.: The effect of irrigation uniformity on irrigation water requirements. *Water SA*, 28 (2), 235-242 (2002)
- [2] Sener, M., Albut, S.: Irrigation performance assessment in Turkey: Thrace Region Case Study. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science* 17 (4), 521-530 (2011)
- [3] Jalal, O.A.J., Acar, B.: Water use in sprinkler-irrigated carrot plant in semi-arid Konya-Kasinhani province of Turkey. *World Journal of Innovative Research*, 4 (2), 1-4 (2018)
- [4] Narayamoorthy, A., Bhattarai, M., Jothi, P.: An assessment of the economic impact of drip irrigation in vegetable production in India. *Agricultural Economic Research Review*, 31 (1), 105-112 (2018)
- [5] Quezada, C., Fischer, S., Campos, J., Ardiles, D. (2011) Water requirements and water use efficiency of carrot under drip irrigation in Haploxerand soil. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 11 (1), 16 – 28 (2011)
- [6] Acar, B., Yılmaz, A.M.: Drip irrigation system performance evaluation based on previous reserach. *International Conference of Ecosystems (ICE 2018)*, Tirana, Albania, June 22-25, 236-241 (2018)

- [7] Zamanian, M., Fatahi, R., Boroomand-Nasab, S.: Field performance evaluation of micro irrigation systems in Iran. *Soil & Water Res.* 9 (3), 135-142 (2014)
- [8] Yavuz, M.Y., Demirel, K., Erken, O., Bahar, E., Deveciler, M. 2010. Emitter clogging and effects on drip irrigation systems performances. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5 (7), 532-538 (2010)
- [9] Acar, B., Topak, R., Yavuz, D., Kalender, M.A.: Is drip irrigation technique sustainable solution in agriculture for semi-arid regions? A case study of Middle Anatolian Region, Turkey. *International Journal of Agriculture and Economic Development* 2 (2), 1-8 (2014)
- [10] Yıldırım, O., Apaydın, H.: Graphical method for determining lateral and manifold pipe diameters in drip irrigation. *Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi*, 5 (1), 24-32 (In Turkish) (1999)
- [11] Subramani, T., Prabakaran, D.J. 2015. Uniformity studies and performance of sprinkler and drip irrigation. *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management (IJAIEEM)*, 4 (5), 284-292 (2015)
- [12] Mostafa, H., Thörmann, H.: On-farm evaluation of low-pressure drip irrigation system for smallholders. *Soil & Water Res.* 8 (2), 87-95 (2013)
- [13] Capra, A., Tamburina, V.: Evaluation and control of distribution uniformity in farm irrigation systems. *Proceedings of 46 th International Executive Council Meeting ICID, CIID special Technical session, Roma, Italy* (1995)
- [14] Tuzel, I.H.: Evaluation of uniformity in drip irrigation systems. *Ege University, Journal of faculty of Agriculture* 30 (1-2), 119-126 (In Turkish) (1993)
- [15] Keller, J., Karmeli, D.: Trickle irrigation design parameters. *Transactions of the American Society of Agri. Engi.* 17 (4), 678-784 (1974)
- [16] Merriam J.L., Keller J.: *Farm irrigation system evaluation, a guide for management.* Utah state Univ. Utah (1978)
- [17] Anonymous.: IRYDA (Instituto de Reforma Y Desarrollo Agrario): Normas para la redacción de proyectos de riego localizado. Ministerio de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación. Madrid, Spain (1983)
- [18] Camoglu, G., Yavuz, M.Y.: Yerli ve yabancı yapım damlatıcıların sulama performansları yönünden karşılaştırılması. *Ulud. Üniv. Zir. Fak. Derg.* 18 (1), 181-191 (In Turkish) (2004)
- [19] Acar, B., Yavuz, F.C., Topak, R., Ugurlu, N.: Water quality and uniformity in trickle irrigation systems: A case study of Antalya, Turkey. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, 21 (5), 3981-3987 (2009)
- [20] Acar, B., Cicek, Y., Topak, R.: Water distribution uniformities of drip irrigation systems in olive trees for Izmir province of Turkey. *Analele Universitatii Din Craiova*, 20 (LVI), 13-20 (2015)
- [21] Arya, C.K., Purohit, R.C., Dashora, L.K., Singh, P.K., Kothari, M.: Performance evaluation of drip irrigation systems. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 6 (4), 2287-2292 (2017)
- [22] Elamin, A.W.M., Abd Eldaiam, A.M., Abdalla, N.A., Hussain, M.E.: Hydraulic performance of drip irrigation system under different emitter types, and operating pressures using treated wastewater at Khartoum State. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, 6 (9), 1086-1095 (2017)